

AES67 beyond the LAN

Nicolas Sturmel, Merging Technologies





- High quality contribution
- Live concerts with musicians apart
- Monitoring
- Voice over in multiple languages
- Because the cloud may be cheaper ?





- High quality contribution
- Live concerts with musicians apart
- Monitoring
- Voice over in multiple languages
- Because the cloud may be cheaper ?







With the increasing demand of WAN communications in AES67/ ST2110-30 networks, mostly due to COVID-19, the AES SC-02-12M, standard comité group on AES67 development started a project to issue recommendations:

- What can I do with my AES67 devices ?
- What can I add to my network to strengthen the connection ?
- What should manufacturers add to the equipments ?
- The report was published September 25th 2021





A lot of contributions from:

- Academics
- Manufacturers
- System integrators
- Users

15 months, 60 calls, and a lot of discussion on what the best practices may be.





A lot of contributions from:

- Academics
- Manufacturers
- System integrators
- Users

15 months, 60 calls, and a lot of discussion on what the best practices may be.

Best Practices





















LAN

- Mostly L2
- Fast
- Small (10-100m)
- Self
 - administrated

Self Administrability

(IP SHOWCASE

LAN

- Mostly L2
- Fast
- Small (10-100m)
- Self
 administrated

- WAN
 - **L**3
 - Speeds varies
 - Long distances (10-10,000km)
 - Protocol limitations

Self Administrability

IP SHOWCASE

LAN

- Mostly L2
- Fast
- Small (10-100m)
- Self
 administrated

WAN

- **L**3
- Speeds varies
- Long distances (10-10,000km)
- Protocol limitations

Cloud

- L3++
- Fast
- Small
- Very few control on infrastructure
- Sharing traffic
- Sharing platforms (VM)

Self Administrability





- Scale of the network can be 10,000 times bigger or even more.
- New it equipments will a role in the network, and they may not be designed for high real time traffic
 - Packets can be delayed or sent out of order (jitter)
 - Packets can be lost

« I know when data enters my ethernet network but not when (and if) i gets out »



Delay and jitter

Geographic delay vs Variable delay

- 100000 times 125us is 12,5 seconds
- it does not mean you have 12,5 seconds (one trip) of latency.
- While on the LAN, 90% of the delay is due to PDV (jitter), on the WAN it is much less (down to a few % on awesome networks)
- However, the geographic delay will be noticeable very quickly and will require mitigation.



At least 5ms every 1000km

- Light travels at approximately 200 000 km/s in a fiber
- That's 5ms for 1000km !
- On top of that, account for network topology



OWCASE

Example, through the internet from the cloud

Config	uration

10

Ю	AES 3 -	
Label]
Description]
Source	sap://ForTheWan-SRT:35002	Manual
Delay (samples)	16000 💌	(~333.3 ms)
Ignore refclk GMID	accept source locked to any PTP Master	-
Relaxed check	accept source with lower channel count	
Channels	Channel count 8	
	Count adapted	
	1 - 8	

Session Info



SHOWCASE

Interface 1

0x10: receiving RTP packets
PTPv2 0
239.88.88.88/1
98 L24/48000/8

SDP

PTP and Synchronisation

- Synchronisation between nodes using PTPv2 is a key feature of AES67 and ST2110
 - Node can create the media clock (sampling frequency) with high phase accuracy
 - Node know when a received sample has been sent on the network and can estimate latency
- However, classic PTP algorithm are not designed to handle large jitter.
 And PTP follower will hardly lock to the follower.

Synchronisation: solutions

- Use the syntonized mode (frequency only), who cares about phase anyway ?
- Relax the expected accuracy (do you really need sub-millisecond sync when you are kilometers away ?)
 - Use NTP or any other clock
- Use special boundary clocks with jitter rejection (Meinberg)
- Use synchronisation out of the network, like GNSS-PTP leaders.





timing and sync





timing and sync





timing and sync







Loosing packets

stream reliability

- Loosing a packet (or having it delayed over the configured link offset) is rare on a LAN, not an a WAN: network congestion, link failure... are bound to happen.
- Here, AES67-2018 does not provide any answer, but ST2110-10 does by using ST2022-7, a multi-path redundancy.
- Other techniques such as FEC, SRT or RIST can also be used



WCASE

stream reliability









Some companies are specialised in providing edge decides that will take RAVENNA streams and make sure that arrive safely on the other end of



Tunneling

A practical proof on concept (Dec 2020) (IP SHOWCASE

× GoToWebinar

× +

el.con



Stream transport via a SRT Gatway.

The Cloud and network contrains

- Using gateways to embed the media streams (e.g.: VPN)
- Using SIP with the classical NAT traversal technique
- Using unicast vs multicast (for both PTP and media)
- VXLAN, LAG, Encryption, QoS...





Widely used protocols like RTP and SDP allow AES67 and ST2110 to be easily transported on a large network.

But achieving high performance (low latency, high quality) requires care.

Often, the solution depends on the performances of the underlying infrastructures



Thank you

nsturmel@merging.com